

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,517	0,517 06/19/2003		Jerry Wu		8650
25859	7590	01/14/2005		EXAM	INER
WEI TE CHUNG				ABRAMS, NEIL	
FOXCON 1650 ME		RNATIONAL, INC. DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				2839	
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/14/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/600,517	WU			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Neil Abrams	2839			
eriod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the corresponderice address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PIVIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a control of the contro	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
tatus		<u>.</u>				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on p	apers filed 12-22-2004.				
,—	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.	•			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice und					
isposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	tion.	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) 7-12 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.	•				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.				
pplicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
riority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the					
	application from the International Bu		<u>-</u>			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
ttachmer	• •	🗖				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
	ce of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SE	5) Notice of 1	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del> ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/600,517

Art Unit: 2839

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paagman 899 in view of Guletsky, Huber, Dechelette and Nakamura.

See discussion in last office action page 2, lines 10 to 21. Paagman includes covers at 112, 112, however, the covers are not latched to the an insulating housing. Dechellete, fig 4 at 66,68.86,118 and Nakamura fig 1 at 6,7 (latches 75,17) disclose covers latched to an insulating housing. Obvious to use such type covers in Paagman., an for ease of assembly. Claim 13 met by cover types of Derchlette or Nakamura. Claim 14 obvious to use latches oriented in direction of cables as in Nakamura, this being change of one feature for its equivalent. For claim 15, obvious to use covers of insulative type in view of Dechelette, fig. 8 at 66, 68, this would improve safety.

Claims 7-12 are allowed.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claim 3, use of cavities and tabs, claim 7, use of fastening elements and holes in circuit boards and clam 11, lines 15,16, cutout, braiding relationship, each feature in overall context of the claims defines patentably over any combination of the prior art references.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Art Unit: 2839

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. New references are applied for features at issue. Future arguments should be more specific and should point out readability of limitations at issue on the disclosed device.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Neil Abrams at telephone number (571)272-2089.

M. Ahrams

Abrams/ds

EXAMIPTER ART UNIT 322